



Exploring Innovative Teaching Strategies of the Singapore English Language Curriculum: A Learning Experience of Lesson Study

¹Lydia Fang Wu, ²Abdul Razak, ³Anna Maria Dashwood

¹Education Department, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

²School of Languages, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Chancellory II, Penang, Malaysia

³School of Education, University of Southern Queensland, West Street Toowoomba, Australia

*Corresponding Author e-mail: lydia_fang-wu@moe.gov.sg

Received: July 2023; Revised: August 2023; Published: November 2023

Abstract

The innovative teaching strategies employed within the context of Singapore's educational framework have been judiciously applied to enhance and enrich the English Language Curriculum. These have been strategically integrated into the pedagogical landscape of English language education. By infusing the English Language Curriculum with these innovative teaching strategies, educators and learners alike have been afforded a dynamic and progressive educational experience that transcends traditional paradigms. Therefore, the researchers investigate the teaching strategies planned and applied in the Singapore English language curriculum. To attain the research aims, this study was conducted in a lesson study. The conducted Lesson Study unfolded across a meticulously orchestrated tripartite framework encompassing distinct research stages: training, instructional planning, and lesson observation. Initially, the data were subjected to a process of "open coding," as per the methodological tenets. Subsequently, a process of categorical organization ensued, wherein the data were systematically sorted into discrete categories. The finding showed that The intricate interplay between lesson study and curriculum innovation has unfolded as a symphonic harmonization that bridges the theoretical constructs of education with the practical realm of pedagogical implementation, immersing itself deeply within the crucible of the classroom.

Keywords: innovative teaching strategies; English language curriculum; lesson study

How to Cite: Wu, L.F., Razak, A., & Dashwood, A.M. (2023). Exploring Innovative Teaching Strategies of the Singapore English Language Curriculum: A Learning Experience of Lesson Study. *Journal of Language and Literature Studies*, 3(2), 118-134. doi: <https://doi.org/10.36312/jolls.v3i2.1348>



<https://doi.org/10.36312/jolls.v3i2.1348>

Copyright© 2023, Wu et al.

This is an open-access article under the [CC-BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) License.



INTRODUCTION

The introduction of the revised English Language Syllabus can be understood as a concerted nationwide endeavor aimed at confronting the enduring challenge at hand (Bayram & Bıkmaz, 2018; Goh & Fang, 2017). The intent behind this initiative was to effectively address the prevailing circumstances. However, the realization of the articulated objectives as outlined in the syllabus necessitated a deliberate and nuanced approach towards the cultivation of essential facets encompassing "skills, learner strategies, attitudes, and behavior (Akiba et al., 2019; J. M. Amador & Carter, 2018). This endeavor demanded a meticulous orchestration of various cognitive and linguistic competencies, intricately interwoven with visual and graphic proficiencies. To operationalize the desired outcomes delineated within the syllabus, a multifaceted pedagogical strategy emerged as imperative. This encompassed a systematic

amalgamation of diverse aptitudes and cognitive processes, converging around six distinct domains of language acquisition (J. Amador & Weiland, 2015). These domains not only encapsulated the fundamental building blocks of language proficiency but also encapsulated a comprehensive spectrum of 29 discrete learning outcomes, meticulously elaborated upon within the pedagogical framework.

By substantively engaging with the instructional document, educators were compelled to navigate the intricate interplay between these aforementioned facets. The cohesive fusion of visual, graphic, linguistic, and cognitive dimensions was requisite for the realization of the stipulated learning objectives (Asyari et al., 2016; Bayram & Bıkmaz, 2018). Consequently, this pedagogical undertaking transcended traditional paradigms by fostering a holistic and integrative approach, underpinned by a nuanced comprehension of the intricate connections between diverse cognitive and linguistic proficiencies (Alderson & Hudson, 2013; Anthonissen, 2020). In essence, the promulgation of the revised English Language Syllabus underscored an earnest endeavor to surmount a persistent challenge. The realization of the envisaged outcomes was predicated upon a meticulously orchestrated synergy of multifarious cognitive and linguistic attributes, guided by the overarching framework comprising six distinct domains of language learning (Al-Rawahi & Al-Mekhlafi, 2015; Azar & Nasiri, 2014). This holistic and integrative pedagogical approach heralded a paradigm shift, encapsulating a broader spectrum of aptitudes and proficiencies critical for the multifaceted development of language skills among learners.

Aligned with the stipulated framework of the syllabus, the instructional landscape has undergone a deliberate transformation, necessitating an expanded purview beyond conventional grammar instruction encompassing word, phrase, and text domains (Bjuland & Helgevold, 2018; Mashudi et al., 2023). This paradigm shift has engendered multifaceted challenges for educators, who are now tasked with the intricate dissemination of oracy skills and the adept integration of information and communication technology within the pedagogical milieu (Akayoğ lu, 2021; Alkhataba et al., 2018). Moreover, the contemporary educational milieu presents an intricate tapestry of diversity, wherein teachers are confronted with the imperative to effectively cater to the needs of a heterogeneous cohort of students, characterized by disparate linguistic backgrounds and varying levels of proficiency. In this dynamic context, pedagogical efficacy hinges upon the facilitation of an inclusive learning environment that embraces linguistic diversity while striving for equitable educational outcomes. Concomitantly, students are entrusted with the formidable endeavor of navigating a comprehensive linguistic landscape, encompassing both utilitarian communicative competence for everyday interactions and the nuanced linguistic dexterity requisite for scholarly pursuits (Borghetti, 2013; Celce-Murcia, 2007).

The acquisition of these multifaceted language proficiencies necessitates concerted cultivation of effort and skill development, warranting judicious mediation through a well-structured curriculum (Best et al., 2023; Canale, 2016). Consequently, the evolving educational milieu underscores the pivotal role of curriculum design and instructional methodologies in navigating the intricate interplay of linguistic intricacies and pedagogical demands (Alvunger, 2018; Banegas, 2019). By assimilating oracy skills, leveraging information and communication technology, and harnessing the dynamic capabilities of a diverse student body, educators are positioned to facilitate a holistic and skill-oriented language acquisition process. Concurrently, students are empowered to traverse the intricate trajectory towards linguistic competence, encompassing everyday functional adeptness and the requisite acumen for comprehensive textual engagement (Chan, 2020; Miller et al., 2021). In essence, the curriculum emerges as a pivotal conduit, harmonizing the intricate interplay of pedagogical interventions and student-centered skill

development, thereby charting an optimal path towards linguistic proficiency and cognitive empowerment.

Over an extended duration, the modalities underpinning professional development initiatives have primarily revolved around widespread dissemination and the training of designated educators, often termed as key teachers (Coşgun & Savaş, 2023; He & Bagwell, 2022). These instructional interventions are typically facilitated through workshops, supplemented by the provision of tangible educational materials in both print and digital formats. This comprehensive approach is designed to equip these key teachers with the requisite tools to effectively navigate the transition towards a novel curriculum (Kontovourki et al., 2018; Macaro et al., 2020). Subsequently, the accrued knowledge and competencies are intended to be diffused among their peers, encompassing educators spanning various grade levels. In order to instill a culture of thoughtful introspection and strategic pedagogical contemplation, educational institutions at the tertiary level, in conjunction with the Ministry of Education, have allocated dedicated intervals, colloquially termed as "time-tabled" time, usually amounting to approximately an hour on a weekly basis.

It is noteworthy, however, that the utilization of this allocated temporal space diverges among different schools, lacking a standardized and enforceable framework for its utilization. However, the mere allocation of designated time within the schedule is insufficient to facilitate the organic emergence of collaborative planning and concerted efforts to align pedagogical practices with the prescribed syllabus requirements (Arslan & Curle, 2021; Roofe, 2021). It is imperative to recognize that the efficacy of this temporal allocation hinges not solely on its presence but rather on the substantive discourse and subsequent action arising from it. As discerned by a myriad of scholarly researchers, the transformation of teacher beliefs and instructional paradigms is contingent upon tangible evidence showcasing the tangible impact of these changes on student learning outcomes. This transformative process inherently acknowledges the pivotal role played by contextual dynamics in the construction and application of pedagogical knowledge. Hence, in order to buttress the endeavors of educators, a collaborative nexus must be forged between the project team and teachers within educational institutions.

The collaboration, rooted in mutual engagement and concerted effort, should be geared towards discerning avenues to ameliorate the disparities observed in daily pedagogical practices. Central to this process is the meticulous evaluation and adaptation of instructional blueprints and curricular frameworks, underpinned by the stipulated syllabus outcomes. Concurrently, this collaborative framework should also encompass the amplification of pedagogical acumen and assessment methodologies, all seamlessly interwoven within the broader tapestry of lesson study—a dynamic and introspective approach to instructional enhancement (Lamb, 2015; Lamb & Ko, 2016). In summation, the prevailing landscape of professional development necessitates a nuanced reevaluation to foster a more robust and efficacious pedagogical evolution. The amalgamation of key teachers' knowledge dissemination, time-tabled introspection, and collaborative engagement within the broader context of lesson study constitutes a comprehensive strategy to facilitate transformative shifts in teaching practices, informed by contextual considerations and accentuated by empirically substantiated insights.

In this paper, researchers report the conceptualization, design, and findings of research on the effectiveness of implementing innovative teaching strategies based on a collaborative one-year pilot project, enhancing ELT (En-ELT) at seven secondary schools. The study aimed to assist teachers as they implement the English Language Syllabus at the lower secondary so that their students are better prepared for learning at the upper secondary, deepen teacher leadership in school-based curriculum planning, development and implementation, and infuse twenty-first-century competencies through contextualized

language teaching and learning (Goh & Fang, 2017; Ming Cheung & Yee Wong, 2014). To facilitate curriculum innovation, the pilot was conducted by bringing teachers together for lesson study to explore the use of strategy-rich lessons in class.

The research endeavor at hand was underpinned by a triad of principal inquiries, which functioned as the guiding compass for this comprehensive study. These inquiries were meticulously formulated to unravel the multifaceted dimensions of the Enhanced English Language Teaching (En-ELT) paradigm, with a discerning focus on its overarching impact on pedagogical competence, formative assessment practices, and student attainment across the four key language domains of listening, reading, speaking, and writing. The first research question sought to ascertain the efficacy of the En-ELT framework in engendering the intended elevation of pedagogical efficacy among educators. The second research question delved into the transformative potential of the En-ELT paradigm within the realm of formative assessment practices. The third and final research question embarked upon an exploration of the En-ELT's pervasive influence across the entire spectrum of student achievement encompassing listening, reading, speaking, and writing proficiencies.

Research Framework

Lesson study, a pedagogical methodology characterized by collaborative teacher engagement, has garnered recognition as a potent and efficacious avenue for professional development, particularly since its inception within Western educational landscapes, most notably the United States (Lewis et al., 2011; Saito, 2012). This transformative approach has subsequently diffused its influence across disparate Asian nations, notably extending its impact to countries such as Singapore (Goh & Fang, 2017), while concurrently undergoing adaptation and evolution within distinctive pedagogical frameworks, as observed in the instances of learning study in locales including Hong Kong and Sweden. Remarkably, the historical and cultural contexts of Japan and China have engendered a long-standing tradition of lesson studies deeply ingrained within the fabric of educators' routine practices (Ming Cheung & Yee Wong, 2014; Sharma & Pang, 2015). Within these contexts, lesson study serves as a fundamental and intrinsic institutional platform, wherein the crucible of the classroom environment is harnessed to incubate and assess innovative curricular paradigms.

The insights gleaned from these pedagogical experiments furnish critical feedback loops that resonate not only within the classroom but also resonate outwardly to inform and influence national curricular mandates and educational policies. However, a noticeable trend within the extant literature pertains to the prevailing dominance of mathematics and science-focused case studies, thereby relegating inquiries pertaining to the efficacy of lesson study within English language teaching (ELT) to a relatively underexplored terrain. An appreciable paucity of documented successful cases delineating the impact of lesson study on English language pedagogy is evident, further underscoring the exigency for a comprehensive elucidation of its efficacy within this realm. In light of this critical void, it becomes incumbent to underscore the indispensable imperative for an illustrative English language case study.

This case study serves as a vanguard in amplifying the efficacy of lesson study within the ambit of ELT, thus engendering a nuanced understanding of its adaptability and transformative potential within diverse linguistic and instructional milieus. The underlying rationale behind this endeavor resides in its capacity to not only underscore the applicability of lesson study in ELT but also to delineate its inherent flexibility and capacity for meaningful adaptations within a multiplicity of curriculum and teaching contexts. In summation, the narrative landscape surrounding lesson study stands as an evolving testament to its versatile and adaptive potential, spanning across diverse

educational contexts. Yet, a pivotal chasm exists, particularly within the realm of English language instruction, wherein the extant scholarship is relatively constrained. It is incumbent upon educators and researchers alike to engage in a concerted pursuit of comprehensively examining the dynamics of lesson study within ELT, thereby unraveling its latent efficacies and underscoring its pivotal role in shaping pedagogical transformations across diverse linguistic landscapes and scholastic environments.

METHOD

Research Context

In Singapore, the maintenance of high student achievement in English at the national examinations is commendable, yet the country must remain vigilant in safeguarding language standards in light of emerging global challenges faced by its students. Despite the successful outcomes in formal assessments, concerns arise due to the prevailing imbalances within the daily classroom environment. These imbalances manifest in uneven language practice and a predominant reliance on an examination-driven pedagogy. The current classroom landscape is characterized by an inclination towards traditional teacher-centered methods and a perpetuation of the "teacher as authority" paradigm (Cohen et al., 2018). Consequently, the focus on rote memorization and examination preparation overshadows the cultivation of more advanced cognitive skills among students. As a consequence, their ability to critically analyze, synthesize information, and engage in independent and creative thought is hindered.

For Singapore to effectively equip its students to navigate the complexities of an interconnected world, it is imperative to reevaluate and revamp the existing pedagogical approaches. Educators should strive to promote student-centered methodologies that foster active learning, critical thinking, and creative problem-solving abilities. Embracing progressive teaching strategies, such as inquiry-based learning, collaborative projects, and experiential activities, can help bridge the gap between formal assessments and comprehensive cognitive development. Furthermore, incorporating technology into the educational landscape can enhance language learning and communication skills, preparing students for the demands of a digitally driven-global society. By leveraging online resources, interactive learning platforms, and language learning applications, educators can create dynamic and engaging learning experiences that cater to diverse student needs and learning styles. Therefore, the recognition of the discrepancies between formal examination success and the development of essential cognitive competencies in the classroom urges Singapore's educational stakeholders to foster a more balanced and holistic language education. Emphasizing the cultivation of complex language skills and critical thinking within the instructional setting will empower students to not only excel academically but also effectively communicate and thrive in the face of new and evolving global challenges.

Research Design

The present study is a Lesson Study (Cohen et al., 2018; Creswell, 2009). The conducted Lesson Study unfolded across a meticulously orchestrated tripartite framework encompassing distinct research stages: training, instructional planning, and lesson observation. The initial phase, characterized by a pedagogical emphasis on experiential learning, was meticulously structured to engender a comprehensive understanding and practical assimilation of the underpinning teaching strategies. Within this trajectory, the training module was meticulously bifurcated into two integral components, each imbued with distinct pedagogical orientations. The inaugural segment of the training delved into the theoretical bedrock underpinning each teaching strategy, thereby crystallizing an insightful comprehension of its conceptual underpinnings and situational relevance within diverse linguistic contexts. This pivotal juncture facilitated an intricate analysis of the

interplay between the different strategies, fostering an enriched understanding of their seamless integration and progressive synergy. Furthermore, this theoretical exploration was artfully interwoven with a nuanced revisit of the principles underpinning Assessment for Learning (AfL), thereby holistically contextualizing these tenets within the ambit of the diverse teaching strategies.

Sequentially, the theoretical framework was seamlessly transitioned into experiential implementation, marking a transformative bridge between theoretical elucidation and practical enactment. As an embodiment of instructional planning, educators were tasked with meticulously crafting a lesson plan outline, thoughtfully extracted from a pre-existing, relevant unit of instruction. This contextual tethering served to furnish a meaningful backdrop within which the selected teaching strategy could be seamlessly embedded and evaluated. The culminating phase within this research trajectory was marked by the instantiation of micro-teaching sessions, catalyzed by the meticulously devised lesson plan outlines. These micro-teaching segments, facilitated by the practitioners, served as an embodiment of the synthesized theoretical insights and instructional planning endeavors. Moreover, these sessions effectively metamorphosed into a vibrant arena for the synthesis of pedagogical theory, instructional pragmatism, and empirical observation. In essence, the multifaceted Lesson Study journey embarked upon a meticulously orchestrated symphony of training, instructional planning, and experiential enactment. This intricately choreographed progression served as an exemplary embodiment of the seamless integration of pedagogical theory and empirical praxis, thereby underscoring the transformative potential of Lesson Study as a robust platform for the synthesis and application of multifarious pedagogical dimensions.

Data Analysis

The current study is a Lesson Study that has two kinds of data, qualitative and quantitative data. The qualitative data amassed through the meticulous execution of the lesson study framework underwent a methodical analytical journey, guided by established protocols. Initially, the data were subjected to a process of "open coding," as per the methodological tenets. This involved the systematic allocation of discerning labels to the textual substratum, thereby imbuing the corpus with a structured organizational framework that facilitated subsequent analysis. Subsequently, a process of categorical organization ensued, wherein the data were systematically sorted into discrete categories, each representing distinct facets and dimensions that transpired during the course of the lesson study. This categorical structuring, in turn, furnished the substratum for the delineation of "core categories," which held the pivotal role of encapsulating overarching patterns and emergent themes that germinated organically from the empirical terrain. These core categories functioned as analytical signposts, elucidating the intricate trajectories of the lesson study process as it unfolded across its various stages: training, instructional planning, lesson observation, and the culminating colloquium.

A cardinal facet of this analytical journey involved the curation of choice quotations, meticulously cherry-picked to encapsulate the essence of the emergent themes. These select excerpts served as potent exemplars, crystallizing the salient aspects and qualitative nuances encapsulated within the broader thematic scaffolding. As a result, these choice quotations emerged as illustrative capsules, adeptly encapsulating and foregrounding the qualitative subtleties that resonated throughout the lesson study process. In essence, the qualitative data amassed during the lesson study underwent a rigorous analytical metamorphosis, akin to the sculpting of raw material into refined contours of meaning. This analytical odyssey, founded upon the principled edifice of open coding and categorical organization, culminated in the identification of core categories and their attendant thematic trajectories. The interwoven process of curating choice

quotations further enriched this analytical tapestry, effectively transmuting raw data into a nuanced and insightful narrative that unveiled the intricacies and dynamics inherent to the multifarious stages of the lesson study journey.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Research Findings

The culmination of data amalgamation from diverse sources engendered a tapestry of multifaceted findings, encompassing a spectrum of salient domains intrinsic to the educational landscape. This comprehensive expanse of investigation traversed pivotal dimensions, including the professional development of educators, the intricate contours of curriculum dissemination actualized through the pedagogical vehicle of lesson study, the multifarious nuances underpinning assessment practices, and the consequential intersections between student learning trajectories and ultimate academic outcomes. Beyond these interwoven facets, the overarching impact of the project resonated across the entire educational ecosystem, spanning teachers, teaching methodologies, and the broader panorama of learning experiences.

Within the framework of this discourse, the present study situates itself with deliberate precision, concentrating its analytical lens on the intricate interplay between lesson study and the efficacious delivery of the English Language (EL) curriculum. In doing so, the investigation adroitly directs its focus towards the intricate dynamics surrounding the pedagogical practice and the corresponding responses elicited from students, all catalyzed by the meticulous utilization of strategies intrinsic to lesson study. This nuanced vantage point is ingeniously cultivated by foregrounding the perspectives of both school advisors and teachers, thus underscoring the synergistic and collaborative nature of the pedagogical exploration. Central to the crux of this paper is the resolute intent to meticulously delineate the links between lesson study as a pedagogical paradigm and its tangible manifestation within the realm of EL curriculum delivery. The analytical expedition embarks upon an incisive exploration of pedagogical practice, discerningly investigating how the intricate orchestration of lesson study strategies resonates within the context of classroom instruction. A concurrent endeavor lies in unraveling the intricate tapestry of student engagement and response, thereby offering a nuanced comprehension of the transformative potential that ensues from the strategic integration of lesson study within the EL curriculum.

Training

Commencing at the inception of each iterative cycle, a meticulously orchestrated training regimen served as the foundational crucible for teachers' immersive engagement with subject matter knowledge, firmly anchored within the contours of explicit pedagogical strategies. The triad of retelling, process writing, and reciprocal teaching formed the bedrock of this cognitive exploration, with their conceptual profundities systematically unveiled through pedagogical exposition. The training schema adroitly facilitated the fusion of theoretical apprehension with tangible contextualization, effectuated by means of hands-on experiential activities and didactic video-clip exemplars. This confluence engendered a contextual tapestry, ensconcing the theoretical constructs within the vivid hues of practical classroom dynamics. Emanating as an intrinsic facet of this training orchestration was the judicious emulation of the lesson study paradigm. This emulation transpired across a multilayered framework, seamlessly interwoven within the pedagogical tapestry.

Noteworthy within this emulative tapestry were the delineated junctures encompassing instructional planning, microteaching enactments, and the ensuing pedagogical critique. This tripartite continuum effectively simulated the iterative and

introspective ethos inherent to lesson study, thus entrenching the pedagogical cognizance within a dynamic and experiential landscape. The probing of teachers' perceptual responses to this comprehensive training trajectory, garnered through the conduit of a structured teacher survey, brought to the fore a discernible acknowledgment of the utility and applicability of the acquired knowledge, perspectives, and skills within their classroom praxis. Evidenced by the quantified mean scores, which stood at 3.4 for retelling, 3.1 for process writing, and 3.3 for reciprocal teaching, the educators' responses stood as a testament to the cogent integration of pedagogical theory and practical application. This perceptual validation underscored the robustness of the training schema in equipping teachers with tangible pedagogical tools, thereby facilitating a dynamic and enriched instructional milieu.

Instructional Planning

During the inaugural Cycle 1 of implementation, an insightful report offered by the school advisors illuminated several pivotal observations pertaining to the intricate dynamics inherent within the Reflective Learning (RL) framework. The report served to unveil the nuanced complexities encompassing the early stages of lesson study, particularly the nascent forays into the interpretive nuances of the pedagogical strategy. A discerning analysis underscored the presence of initial setbacks, marked by tentative undertakings, equivocal discernment, and a measure of incompleteness in comprehending both the procedural mechanics of lesson study and the nuanced essence embedded within the selected teaching strategy. The trajectory of the initial cycle bore witness to noteworthy instances of procedural evolution, each lending crucial insights into the transformative trajectory of the lesson study paradigm. One salient instance illuminated the emergent evolution of collaborative planning, as a palpable departure from the initial proclivity towards solo endeavors. Within this context, it became evident that the blueprinting of RL plans had hitherto been predominantly orchestrated by instructional leaders or level coordinators, reflecting a departure from the collaborative ethos intrinsic to lesson study.

During the subsequent Cycle 2, an insightful evaluation proffered by school advisors illuminated noteworthy shifts within the pedagogical landscape, underscoring the emergence of collaborative dimensions within the planning of the Reflective Learning (RL) framework. Across all participating schools, discernible evidences of collaborative engagement materialized, most notably observed among RL1 teachers. This collaborative initiative manifested in comprehensive discussions encompassing the formulation of pre-writing strategies, intricate deliberations concerning question formulation for the co-construction of textual content, and productive conferencing sessions dedicated to the refinement and enhancement of co-constructed paragraphs, shaped collectively through interactive engagement with the class. Integral to this collaborative trajectory was the experiential synthesis of pedagogical insights, which culminated in the coherent articulation of instructions for autonomous student groups to independently replicate an additional paragraph, encapsulating the quintessence of the RL pedagogical paradigm. Despite these notable strides, the cycle bore witness to a set of preliminary challenges, reflective of the nascent evolution of the RL framework.

As the pedagogical trajectory advanced into Cycle 3 during Year 2, a discernible transformation surfaced within the demeanor of the educators, underscored by an augmented acumen pertaining to the anticipatory dynamics inherent to the lesson study process. This evolution was conspicuously rooted in a convergence of catalytic factors, chief among them being the systematic maturation of the formalized advisory visit tool. This evolved tool intricately delineated a structured framework of proactive engagements, thereby furnishing educators with a lucid roadmap of sequential actions to be navigated within the course of their pedagogical endeavors. This formalization not only engendered

a heightened sense of procedural clarity but also functioned as an experiential scaffold that amplified educators' perceptual insights, concomitantly nurturing a coherent sense of what lay ahead in the pedagogical journey. Furthermore, a pivotal inflection point was precipitated by the transition from lesson outlines to more comprehensive and meticulously curated lesson plans. This pivotal departure in instructional design holistically enriched the pedagogical discourse by articulating a panoramic vista of instructional trajectories, intricately interwoven within the broader tapestry of lesson study. This evolution not only facilitated comprehensive discussions but also engendered a heightened capacity for nuanced pedagogical reflection and refinement, thereby affording educators the means to traverse the interplay between instructional aspirations and pragmatic realizations with heightened precision and coherence.

Lesson Observations

During the inaugural phase of Cycle 1, a pronounced emphasis on the shared endeavor of sharing and comparing crystallized as a pivotal facet within the pedagogical landscape, particularly with regard to the retelling process at the secondary level. However, the nuanced execution of this pedagogical imperative was not uniformly attained with optimal efficacy. As per the discerning observations of the school advisors, a discernible variance manifested in the successful implementation of this shared and comparative pedagogical approach. Evidently, a subset of educators, accounting for approximately 50 percent of the teaching cohort (equating to seven out of 14 educators), effectively facilitated the pivotal process of student engagement in comparative analysis, wherein students were tasked with discerning and elucidating discrepancies and variances between the original text and their retellings.

Within the realm of Cycle 2, a discernible augmentation in the instructional configuration unfolded through the strategic amalgamation of retelling with the process writing pedagogical paradigm. This confluence engendered a harmonized instructional synergy, accentuating the efficacy of idea generation for writing tasks. The amalgamation facilitated a distinctive modality wherein students were equipped to seamlessly "borrow" salient points from the provided textual material, simultaneously imbuing their compositions with original ideational contributions. The symbiotic interplay between these pedagogical facets furnished a dynamic trajectory, epitomizing a seamless fusion of guided textual engagement and autonomous creative thought, thereby effectuating a novel and enriched dimension within the writing process. This intricate pedagogical orchestration resonated not only within the confines of the student landscape but reverberated through the perceptual echelons of both educators and school advisors. The constructive integration of retelling and process writing underscored an experiential continuity, thereby reinforcing the iterative practice of retelling that had initially been cultivated within Cycle 1.

Within the purview of Cycle 3, a discernible manifestation of the reciprocal teaching (RcT) strategy unfolded, permeating a substantial proportion of instructional interactions. The Reflective Learning (RL) educators adeptly delineated the purpose and modus operandi of RcT, articulating a coherent sequence that traversed from predictive conjecture (P) to elucidatory elucidation (C), insightful interrogation (Q), and culminating summation (S). This articulation was seamlessly punctuated by the judicious incorporation of the specialized meta-linguistic facets intrinsic to the strategy. Notwithstanding this adroit delineation, the actualization of the process was occasionally punctuated by a modicum of intermittent unevenness, with the seamless rhythmic flow not invariably maintained. However, this pedagogical trajectory confronted a nuanced challenge that surfaced within the interpretive dimensions of RcT, particularly in its bifurcated application across Class-RcT and Group-RcT contexts. This distinct

interpretation bifurcated across two distinct facets, each of which underpinned pedagogical implementations of the strategy.

Teacher Learning

Across a triadic trajectory encompassing retelling, process writing, and reciprocal teaching, the pedagogical odyssey of teacher learning with respect to Assessment for Learning (AfL) traversed the expanse of three iterative cycles. Delving into the specific realm of assessment practices, the present exploration unfurls the nuanced tapestry of the instructors' engagement with AfL within the intricate folds of the aforementioned pedagogical paradigms. In the context of retelling, the educators exhibited a generalized cognizance of the transformative potential of AfL, discerning its latent capacity to wield a tangible influence upon the trajectory of student learning. However, a discernible disjuncture surfaced within this paradigm, as a notable subset comprising 50 percent of the educator cohort, totaling seven out of 14, grappled with the subsequent operationalization of assimilated AfL insights into pedagogical praxis. This disposition was conspicuously mirrored in the realm of progress documentation, where a mere quintet of educators out of the overall cohort proactively recorded students' academic advancements. This discernible reticence, it could be contended, was inextricably linked to the embryonic stage of the lesson study journey, notably evident in Cycle 1. During this nascent phase, a distinct lack of intuitive focus on "student learning" as a central pivot to pedagogy hindered the educators' proclivity to perpetually amass evidence of scholastic advancement.

Discussion

The dynamic interplay between lesson study and curriculum innovation unfolded as a nuanced orchestration that seamlessly linked theoretical constructs with pedagogical praxis, inherently embedded within the classroom crucible. This symbiotic nexus between pedagogical theory and immediate enactment emerged as the quintessence of lesson study's transformative potential, notably underscored by its strategic provision of structure and procedural frameworks. Lesson study, functioning as a catalytic conduit for curriculum innovation, stood as an experiential crucible where the unison of theory and practice unfolded with palpable immediacy (Angeli & Valanides, 2009; Bayram & Bikmaz, 2018). At its core, lesson study wielded a profound pedagogical impetus, ushering teachers into a realm where theoretical constructs converged seamlessly with classroom realities. This transformative trajectory was adeptly facilitated by the prescient provision of systematic structures and enduring routines that served as conduits for the transmutation of theoretical paradigms into tangible instructional praxis.

Within the complex mosaic of lesson study, the structural scaffold manifested as a keystone, acutely pivotal in facilitating the translation of pedagogical theory into efficacious classroom practice. The meticulous employment of pedagogical tools, conspicuously encapsulated within the contours of templates and thinking frames, emerged as an instrumental catalyst, efficaciously operationalizing the intricacies of the lesson study processes (J. Amador & Weiland, 2015; Lamb & King, 2021; Lamb & Ko, 2016). These tools, replete with prescriptive delineations, adroitly demystified the intricacies of instructional planning, lesson observations, and culminating colloquia. As a direct result, the pivotal ethos of lesson study was perpetuated across successive cycles, forging an indelible imprint of pedagogical routines underscored by the cadence of deliberation, negotiation, reflection, and consensus building. This cyclically reiterated enactment, fortified by the scaffolding of clear "structures," culminated in the crystallization of enduring pedagogical routines. These routines, perpetually honed through successive cycles of lesson study, emerged as a pivotal pedagogical landscape

where deliberation seamlessly intertwined with consensus building and reflective introspection.

This methodological continuum was particularly germane for the project schools, poised as they were on the cusp of their foray into the English lesson study paradigm. This contextually responsive approach garnered pronounced efficacy within these project schools, thereby attesting to the pragmatic pertinence of leveraging lesson study as a transformative medium for curriculum innovation. In essence, the entwined narrative of lesson study and curriculum innovation underscored the profound efficacy of experiential enactment in seamlessly bridging the theoretical-practical chasm (Mambu, 2022; Nejadghanbar, 2021). The orchestrated infusion of pedagogical tools and procedural frameworks converged harmoniously, fostering an enriched pedagogical ethos marked by the iterative cadence of lesson study. This resonant symphony, emblematic of the reciprocal relationship between theory and praxis, permeated the instructional milieu, ultimately furnishing educators with a transformative crucible wherein curriculum innovation thrived within the dynamic embrace of immediate classroom enactment.

Among the multifarious roles assumed by school advisors, three discrete functions assumed paramount significance in shaping the outcomes of the educational innovation, orchestrating a nuanced orchestration that was instrumental in driving transformative progress. This triad of pivotal roles, encompassing facilitation, advisory guidance, and problem-solving, collectively constituted a dynamic framework that profoundly resonated within the pedagogical landscape, intrinsically interwoven with the lesson study paradigm (Kao, 2023; Roofe, 2021). Functioning as facilitators, the school advisors embarked on a multifaceted role characterized by an intricate blend of pedagogical modeling, protocol enforcement, and collaborative leadership (Arslan & Curle, 2021; Chan, 2020). This orchestration unfurled as a dynamic pedagogical choreography, with the advisors adroitly exemplifying the lesson study protocol and exacting standards of pedagogical practice. This pedagogical choreography extended beyond mere exemplification, engendering a profound engagement that cohesively hinged upon the discerning appraisal of observed pedagogical manifestations, achieved milestones within Reflective Learning (RL) iterations, and ensuing commitments forged through collective deliberations. The strategic navigational acumen of advisors was resonantly evident in their multifarious pedagogical interactions, epitomizing a resolute commitment to crystallizing collective pedagogical decisions and fostering a synergistic pedagogical trajectory.

Embedded within the advisory function, the school advisors assumed the mantle of astute mentors, guiding teachers along the intricate labyrinth of pedagogical evolution. This pedagogical mentorship was articulated through a multifaceted spectrum, encompassing corrective interventions for conceptual misunderstandings, discerning the contours of instructional "blind spots" that materialized during the RL progression, and adeptly shedding light upon uncharted pedagogical territories. This multifaceted mentorship was acutely tailored to the contextual exigencies and individual pedagogical odysseys of educators, resonating as an embodiment of pedagogical sensitivity and strategic foresight that underscored the transformative potential of advisory mentorship. The role of problem solvers borne by the school advisors crystallized as a potent catalyst for pedagogical refinement, orchestrated through the prism of prescriptive introspection and strategic foresight. This facet was punctuated by a profound focus on future-oriented actions that held the potential to elevate the pedagogical landscape of English language teaching and learning (Chan, 2020; Mambu, 2022; Nejadghanbar, 2021). In the wake of rigorous colloquia deliberations, the advisors astutely directed pedagogical focus toward the cultivation of future-oriented actions, deeply rooted in the empirical bedrock of generalizable principles derived from astute pedagogical practice. This dialectic interplay between pedagogical foresight and tangible action delineated the quintessence of the

problem-solving role, emblematic of a transformative agency that galvanized the pedagogical ecosystem.

The empirical findings gleaned from the study corroborate a discernible trajectory wherein educators progressively fortified their capacity to seamlessly integrate Assessment for Learning (AfL) into the pedagogical praxis, thereby orchestrating a tangible influence upon the instructional landscape. This transformative progression was markedly pronounced within the realm of Reflective Learning 2 (RL2), attesting to a crystallization of pedagogical acumen that resonated within the confluence of teaching and AfL-infused assessment practices. An overarching thematic resonance encapsulated within the findings emanates from a pivotal transition within educators' pedagogical oeuvre (He & Bagwell, 2022; Macaro et al., 2020). This transition is emblematic of a gradual metamorphosis wherein educators not only honed their proficiency in incorporating AfL as an active pedagogical lever but also adeptly harnessed the informational insights gleaned from student learning to effectuate judicious recalibrations within the pedagogical continuum. This pedagogical recalibration, profoundly evident within the precincts of RL2, engendered an observable shift in educators' engagement with student learning evidence – a shift that traversed from the antecedent vestiges of scant documentation to a paradigm characterized by conspicuous evidentiary substantiation.

This perceptible transformation was underpinned by a perceptual shift, aptly encapsulated within the metaphorical notion of acquiring an "eye on student learning," denoting an astute acumen that facilitated the discerning assimilation and utilization of student-centric insights within pedagogical navigation. This transformative juncture within pedagogical practice culminated in the establishment of an intricate pedagogical tapestry, wherein teaching and assessment forged a symbiotic resonance, intertwining in a seamless symphony of pedagogical advancement (Kontovourki et al., 2018; Macaro et al., 2020; Taş demir & Karaman, 2022). This orchestration of pedagogical elements catalyzed a perceptible amplification of pedagogical "visibility," where the heretofore latent contours of pedagogical exchange were enunciated with pronounced lucidity. The catalytic effect extended further, imposing a compelling sense of accountability upon the pedagogical landscape – an accountability inextricably linked to learning outcomes. It is within this ecosystem of pedagogical accountability that educators galvanized their agency as proactive problem solvers, effectually transmogrifying pedagogical challenges into potent crucibles for innovation and refinement.

Integral to this transformative pedagogical panorama is an alignment with the observational tenets articulated in extant literature. A confluence of experiential observation and theoretical postulation converges to substantiate the notion that pedagogical innovation flourishes within the fertile grounds of communal engagement with emerging pedagogical quandaries (Bocala, 2015; Goh & Fang, 2017). This interactive milieu engenders a fertile terrain wherein the pedagogical community, in their quest to grapple with nascent challenges, fosters a synergistic landscape characterized by the unfettered exchange of experiential wisdom and innovative pedagogical praxis. Of paramount significance is the strategic utilization of language acquisition strategies within the English Language Syllabus, yielding an enriched pedagogical prism wherein students are immersed within a milieu of efficacious language experiences, replete with salient stimulus materials and exemplar models of proficient linguistic dexterity (Haerazi & Nunez, 2022; Naqsyabandiyah & Dehghanitafti, 2023). This contextual emphasis finds a harmonious congruence within the paradigm where student learning assumes the mantle of a curriculum regulator. In such a paradigmatic equilibrium, the conventional focal points of assessment, rote practices, and examination-centric pedagogy are eclipsed, supplanted by a pedagogical ethos that pivots around the pivotal axis of experiential

practice, collaborative exploration, and immersive engagement with the pedagogical journey.

The empirical trajectory encapsulated within the study unveils a transformative odyssey wherein educators progressively embrace and adeptly wield the pedagogical prowess of AfL integration (Angeli & Valanides, 2009; Kao, 2023). The journey, resoundingly underscored by the transformative crucible of RL2, resonates as an embodiment of pedagogical enlightenment, where educators assume the mantle of proactive problem solvers and pedagogical stewards. This immersive transformation, seamlessly interwoven with an acute sensitivity to language acquisition strategies, permeates a pedagogical milieu wherein student learning unfurls as the fulcrum that invariably orchestrates pedagogical design, thereby engendering a pedagogical landscape characterized by experiential resonance, collaborative ethos, and an unswerving commitment to the transformative potential inherent within the pedagogical odyssey.

CONCLUSION

The intricate interplay between lesson study and curriculum innovation has unfolded as a symphonic harmonization that bridges the theoretical constructs of education with the practical realm of pedagogical implementation, immersing itself deeply within the crucible of the classroom. This symbiotic nexus between pedagogical theory and immediate enactment emerges as the quintessence of lesson study's transformative potency, a resonance magnificently exemplified through the strategic scaffolding and procedural frameworks it offers. Lesson study stands as a dynamic catalyst for curriculum innovation, where theory and practice coalesce in a profound experiential crucible, encapsulating the profound significance of its structured approach. At its core, lesson study propels educators into a realm where theoretical foundations are seamlessly woven into the fabric of classroom reality.

This transformative trajectory is skillfully facilitated through the provision of systematic structures and enduring routines that serve as conduits for the transmutation of theoretical paradigms into tangible instructional praxis. The meticulous deployment of pedagogical tools, enshrined within templates and thinking frames, emerges as an instrumental catalyst, effectively operationalizing the intricate intricacies of the lesson study processes. These tools, replete with prescriptive delineations, adeptly demystify the complexities of instructional planning, lesson observations, and culminating colloquia. As a direct consequence, the central tenets of lesson study reverberate across successive cycles, engendering an indelible imprint of pedagogical routines that are honed and perfected through the iterative cadence of deliberation, negotiation, reflection, and consensus building. The profound implications of this methodological continuum are particularly pronounced for project schools that find themselves at the nascent stages of their journey within the English lesson study paradigm.

RECOMMENDATION

The findings and subsequent analysis offer a compelling recommendation, positing that the project possesses a considerable reservoir of potential to effectively persuade educators of its inherent merits. However, in order to foster a sustained engagement and commitment on the part of teachers in championing the enduring viability of the curriculum innovation, a comprehensive approach to project implementation is imperative. This necessitates an emphasis on prolonged exposure to the utilization of pedagogical strategies across all classroom settings and pertinent lessons, underpinned by a concerted effort to facilitate the dissemination of exemplary practices that extend beyond the confines of individual year levels. Moreover, the cultivation of a seamless transfer of acquired knowledge among educators is paramount, positioning them as the vanguards of

transformative pedagogical methodologies. To navigate the course toward the refinement of classroom practices, a deliberate and intentional infusion of strategy-rich lessons into the school curriculum becomes imperative. This strategic integration must be imbued with a continuous and unwavering commitment, fostering a pedagogical milieu that is both dynamic and responsive. The symphony of innovation that reverberates within the curriculum should encapsulate a harmonious convergence of theoretical constructs and practical application, thereby engendering a pedagogical landscape marked by efficacy, engagement, and transformative educational outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Researchers extend our sincere appreciation to the school advisors, teachers, and students who participated in this study, offering invaluable insights, perspectives, and experiences that enriched our understanding of the practical implications of these innovative strategies. Their dedication to the pursuit of effective pedagogy and transformative learning experiences has been a source of inspiration throughout this research journey. Researchers acknowledge the role of our research institution, which provided the necessary resources, infrastructure, and scholarly environment that enabled us to undertake this research with rigor and depth. Their constant belief in our endeavors provided the motivation to persevere and strive for excellence in this scholarly pursuit. The collaborative spirit and collective efforts of these individuals and entities have been pivotal in shaping the trajectory of this study, and their contributions are deeply appreciated and acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Akayoğ lu, S. (2021). Teaching CALL to Pre-service Teachers of English in a Flipped Classroom. *Technology, Knowledge and Learning*, 26(1), 155–171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-019-09428-3>
- Akiba, M., Murata, A., Howard, C. C., & Wilkinson, B. (2019). Lesson study design features for supporting collaborative teacher learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 77, 352–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2018.10.012>
- Alderson, J. C., & Hudson, R. (2013). The metalinguistic knowledge of undergraduate students of English language or linguistics. *Language Awareness*, 22(4), 320–337. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2012.722644>
- Alkhataba, E. H. A., Abdul-Hamid, S., & Ibrahim, B. (2018). Technology-Supported Online Writing: An Overview of Six Major Web 2.0 Tools for Collaborative-Online Writing. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3151131>
- Al-Rawahi, L. S., & Al-Mekhlafi, A. M. (2015). The effect of online collaborative project-based learning on English as a Foreign Language learners' language performance and attitudes. *Learning and Teaching in Higher Education: Gulf Perspectives*, 12(2), 74–91. <https://doi.org/10.18538/lthe.v12.n2.186>
- Alvunger, D. (2018). Teachers' Curriculum Agency in Teaching a Standards- Based Curriculum. *The Curriculum Journal*, 29(4), 479–498. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2018.1486721>
- Amador, J. M., & Carter, I. S. (2018). Audible conversational affordances and constraints of verbalizing professional noticing during prospective teacher lesson study. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 21(1), 5–34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-016-9347-x>
- Amador, J., & Weiland, I. (2015). What Preservice Teachers and Knowledgeable Others Professionally Notice During Lesson Study. *The Teacher Educator*, 50(2), 109–126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08878730.2015.1009221>
- Angeli, C., & Valanides, N. (2009). Epistemological and Methodological Issues for the Conceptualization, Development, and Assessment of ICT–TPCK: Advances in

- Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPCK). *Computers & Education*, 52(1), 154–168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.07.006>
- Anthonissen, L. (2020). Cognition in construction grammar: Connecting individual and community grammars. *Cognitive Linguistics*, 31(2), 309–337. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cog-2019-0023>
- Arslan, S., & Curle, S. (2021). Sustainable Development Goals in the English Language High School Curriculum in Turkey. *European Journal of Education*, 56(4), 681–695. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12473>
- Asyari, M., Al Muhdhar, M. H. I., Susilo, H., & . I. (2016). Improving critical thinking skills through the integration of problem based learning and group investigation. *International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, 5(1), 36–44. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLLS-10-2014-0042>
- Azar, A. S., & Nasiri, H. (2014). Learners' Attitudes toward the Effectiveness of Mobile Assisted Language Learning (MALL) in L2 Listening Comprehension. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 98, 1836–1843. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.613>
- Banegas, D. L. (2019). Language Curriculum Transformation and Motivation Through Action Research. *The Curriculum Journal*, 30(4), 422–440. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2019.1646145>
- Bayram, İ ., & Bıkmaz, F. (2018). Exploring the Lesson Study Experience of EFL Instructors at Higher Education: A Pilot Study. *Journal of Qualitative Research in Education*, 6(3), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.14689/issn.2148-2624.1.6c3s15m>
- Best, M. C., Jones, K., Washington, J., Sullivan, J., Kearney, M., & Puchalski, C. (2023). Evaluation of the Interprofessional Spiritual Care Education Curriculum in Australia: Online. *Palliative and Supportive Care*, 21(1), 65–73. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1478951522000244>
- Bjuland, R., & Helgevold, N. (2018). Dialogic processes that enable student teachers' learning about pupil learning in mentoring conversations in a Lesson Study field practice. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 70, 246–254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.11.026>
- Bocala, C. (2015). From Experience to Expertise: The Development of Teachers' Learning in Lesson Study. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 66(4), 349–362. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487115592032>
- Borghetti, C. (2013). Integrating Intercultural and Communicative Objectives in the Foreign Language Class: A Proposal for the Integration of Two Models. *The Language Learning Journal*, 41(3), 254–267. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09571736.2013.836344>
- Canale, G. (2016). (Re)Searching culture in foreign language textbooks, or the politics of hide and seek. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 29(2), 225–243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07908318.2016.1144764>
- Celce-Murcia, M. (2007). Rethinking the Role of Communicative Competence in Language Teaching. In E. A. Soler & M. P. S. Jordà (Eds.), *Intercultural Language Use and Language Learning* (pp. 41–57). Springer Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-5639-0_3
- Chan, J. Y. H. (2020). Towards English as an International Language: The Evolving ELT Curricula and Textbooks in Hong Kong. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 30(2), 244–263. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijal.12277>
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research Methods in Education (8th Edition)*. New York: Routledge.

- Coşgun, G. E., & Savaş, P. (2023). Professional Identity Development of English Teachers: A Data-Driven Model. *Review of Education*, 11(1), e3394. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3394>
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches (3rd Edition)*. SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Goh, R., & Fang, Y. (2017). Improving English language teaching through lesson study: Case study of teacher learning in a Singapore primary school grade level team. *International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, 6(2), 135–150. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLLS-11-2015-0037>
- Haerazi, H., & Nunez, J. L. (2022). Promoting Intercultural Competences and Communication Skills through English Textbooks within Multilingual Education: Intercultural Language Learning; English Textbooks. *Journal of Language and Literature Studies*, 1(2), 75–82. <https://doi.org/10.36312/jolls.v1i2.610>
- He, Y., & Bagwell, D. (2022). Supporting Teachers Working with English Learners: Engagement and Impact of a Professional Development Program. *TESOL Journal*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.632>
- Kao, Y. (2023). Empowering Preservice English Teachers with Language Assessment Literacy Concepts and Practices: Application of Vygotskian Concept-Based Language Instruction. *The Modern Language Journal*, 107(S1), 68–94. <https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12818>
- Kontovourki, S., Philippou, S., & Theodorou, E. (2018). Curriculum Making as Professionalism-in-Context: The Cases of Two Elementary School Teachers Amidst Curriculum Change in Cyprus. *The Curriculum Journal*, 29(2), 257–276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2018.1447308>
- Lamb, P. (2015). Peer-learning between pre-service teachers: Embracing Lesson Study. *International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, 4(4), 343–361. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLLS-03-2015-0012>
- Lamb, P., & King, G. (2021). Developing the practice of pre-service physical education teachers through a dyad model of lesson study. *European Physical Education Review*, 27(4), 944–960. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X211004628>
- Lamb, P., & Ko, P. Y. (2016). Case studies of lesson and learning study in initial teacher education programmes. *International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, 5(2), 78–83. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLLS-02-2016-0005>
- Lewis, C., Friedkin, S., Baker, E., & Perry, R. (2011). Learning from the Key Tasks of Lesson Study. In O. Zaslavsky & P. Sullivan (Eds.), *Constructing Knowledge for Teaching Secondary Mathematics* (pp. 161–176). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09812-8_10
- Macaro, E., Akincioglu, M., & Han, S. (2020). English Medium Instruction in Higher Education: Teacher Perspectives on Professional Development and Certification. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 30(1), 144–157. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijal.12272>
- Mambu, J. E. (2022). Co-constructing a critical ELT curriculum: A case study in an Indonesian-based English language teacher education program. *TESOL Journal*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.667>
- Mashudi, A., Indah, R. N., & Syaifulloh, B. (2023). Syllabus Design of English Club: Fostering Joyful Extracurricular for Tenth Graders. *Journal of Languages and Language Teaching*, 11(2), 297. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jollt.v11i2.7211>
- Miller, L. R., Klassen, K., & Hardy, J. W. (2021). Curriculum Design from Theory to Practice: Preparing Japanese Students to Study Abroad Using Content-Based

- Language Teaching. *The Curriculum Journal*, 32(2), 215–246. <https://doi.org/10.1002/curj.68>
- Ming Cheung, W., & Yee Wong, W. (2014). Does Lesson Study work?: A systematic review on the effects of Lesson Study and Learning Study on teachers and students. *International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, 3(2), 137–149. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLLS-05-2013-0024>
- Naqsyabandiyah, N., & Dehghanitafti, N. (2023). Developing Task-Based Learning Materials to Improve Students' Vocabulary Mastery Viewed from Linguistic Awareness. *Journal of Language and Literature Studies*, 3(1), 37–52. <https://doi.org/10.36312/jolls.v3i1.1088>
- Nejadghanbar, H. (2021). Using Critical Incidents for Professional Development with Iranian Novice English Teachers. *TESOL Journal*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/tesj.521>
- Roofe, C. (2021). Curriculum as Place: The Social Relevance of the Secondary School Curriculum in Jamaica. *The Curriculum Journal*, 32(2), 165–181. <https://doi.org/10.1002/curj.70>
- Saito, E. (2012). Key issues of lesson study in Japan and the United States: A literature review. *Professional Development in Education*, 38(5), 777–789. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2012.668857>
- Sharma, S. A., & Pang, S. (2015). Creating New Opportunities for Lesson Study in an Online Reading Clinic. *Literacy Research: Theory, Method, and Practice*, 64(1), 415–428. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2381336915617577>
- Taşdemir, H., & Karaman, A. C. (2022). Professional Development Practices of English Language Teachers: A Synthesis of Studies Published Between 2006 and 2020. *Review of Education*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3350>